

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/690,774	Applicant(s) GILLIS ET AL.	
	Examiner JOHN PAK	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:

 (1) JOHN PAK.

 (2) SEAN DALEY.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 20 June 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Daley explained that the amendment filed on 4/7/2006 was intended to be a draft version for examiner's preliminary review and comment. The Examiner noted that he took the amendment to be a formal filing and had forwarded it the clerical staff to be formally entered. The Examiner stated that the amendment has been entered and the restriction requirement of 3/28/2006 has been vacated in view of said amendment. .